

RE: Opposition to the Roosevelt Irrigation District (RID)-Early Response Action Dugdale, John to: Jerry D. Worsham II, Bethany Dreyfus 01/21/2010 11:52 AM

Cc: Janet Rosati

History: This message has been forwarded.

Thanks a lot, Jerry.

Hi, Bethany:

I imagine it will take some time for the Region to consider our request for an audience so soon after the Region's meeting yesterday with the RID representatives, but once you get a general sense of when we might meet, assuming Mr. Takata agrees to do so, would you please let me know so I, my client, and others can block out times on our respective calendars?

Thanks very much.

John Dugdale

Partner

Andrews Kurth LLP

1717 Main Street, Suite 3700
Dallas, Texas 75201
214.659.4525 Phone
214.659.4769 Fax
214.912.6679 Cell
214.659.4555 Assistant Phone - Felecia Dorsey johndugdale@andrewskurth.com
vCard | Bio | andrewskurth.com

From: Jerry D. Worsham II [mailto:jworsham@gblaw.com]

Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2010 1:10 PM **To:** 'Dreyfus.Bethany@epamail.epa.gov'

Cc: 'Rosati.Janet@epamail.epa.gov'; Dugdale, John

Subject: Opposition to the Roosevelt Irrigation District (RID)-Early Response Action

Bethany:

You have received a letter from Air Liquide, via John Dugdale, Esq., which outlines many technical and legal problems with the Roosevelt Irrigation District's proposed Early Response Action (ERA). Simply stated, RID wants to circumvent scrutiny of their proposed action that is designed to change their historical and current water rights from irrigation/agricultural purposes to create a potable water supply for unknown or unidentified water users. RID's estimated Phase 1 costs between \$111 to \$130 million has shocked the environmental community. The proposal treats too much water from too many wells from too many zones. RID won't even complete their proposed design elements for ADEQ and the affected community so we can adequately evaluate the technical merits of their proposal. It will be the subject of intense litigation if ADEQ allows them to move forward.

RID plans to sue 104 defendants including everyone within the Motorola 52^{md} Street Superfund Site (OU 1,2 and 3). Many have existing Consent Orders with EPA or ADEQ. **My Clients,** including ArvinMeritor, Inc., Cooper Industries, Inc., Hill Brothers Chemical Co. and Head/Penn Racquet Sports, **support the comments supplied by Air Liquide.** They can sue if they want, but the ERA can't go forward without 1)

ADEQ's approval and 2) huge sums of cash from the litigation. No one has volunteered to join RID in their proposal.

We have expressed our concerns to the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. We solicit EPA Region IX's and your support in stopping this ridiculous proposal. We know that they recently met with EPA Region IX.

Call me with any questions.

Jerry D. Worsham II

(602) 256-4452 jworsham@gblaw.com Attorney Profile

Gammage & Burnham

Two North Central, 18th Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Main: (602) 256-0566 Fax: (602) 256-4475

Web: http://www.gblaw.com/

This message and any of the attached documents contain information from the law firm of Gammage & Burnham, P.L.C. that may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not read, copy, distribute, or use this information, and no privilege has been waived by your inadvertent receipt. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message.

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail and any attachments to it may be legally privileged and include confidential information intended only for the recipient(s) identified above. If you are not one of those intended recipients, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or its attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender of that fact by return e-mail and permanently delete the e-mail and any attachments to it immediately. Please do not retain, copy or use this e-mail or its attachments for any purpose, nor disclose all or any part of its contents to any other person. Thank you

Treasury Circular 230 Disclosure: Any tax advice in this e-mail (including any attachment) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any person, for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the person. If this e-mail is used or referred to in connection with the promoting or marketing of any transaction(s) or matter(s), it should be construed as written to support the promoting or marketing of the transaction(s) or matter(s), and the taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor.